

PROBLEMS FOR POLYNOMIALS OF ONE AND SEVERAL VARIABLES

DMITRY KHAVINSON

1. WALSH'S COINCIDENCE THEOREM

Let $z_1, \dots, z_N \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\prod_{k=1}^N (z + z_k) = \sum_{k=0}^N L_k(z_1, \dots, z_N) z^{N-k}$, where L_k stand for standard linear symmetric forms of N variables of degree k . Any linear symmetric form of N variables can be written as

$$L(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \sum_0^N A_k L_k(z_1, \dots, z_N), \quad A_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

When $z_1 = \dots = z_N = z$, we obtain

$$L(z, \dots, z_N) = \sum_0^N \binom{N}{k} A_k z^k, \quad \text{a polynomial in } \mathbb{C}.$$

Theorem 1.1. (*Walsh's coincidence theorem* - cf. [9].) *If for $z_1, \dots, z_N \in \mathbb{D}^N$, the polydisk, a linear symmetric form $L(z_1, \dots, z_N) = 0$, then $\exists z \in \mathbb{D} : L(z, \dots, z) = 0$.*

Question. *For which other polynomials P , symmetric with respect to all permutations of the variables, the statement remains true, i.e., if the zero set $\{P = 0\}$ is connected in the polydisk, then it intersects the main diagonal of the polydisk?*

As was noted by S. Shimorin [10], a direct generalization fails for $N \geq 3$ since, e.g., for $P := \sum_1^3 z_j^2 - \sum_{i \neq j} z_i z_j - c$, where $c \neq 0$ is small, the “zero” set of P is a connected “cylinder” in \mathbb{D}^3 , symmetric with respect to all the permutations of the variables, that does not intersect the main diagonal. It would be interesting to produce a similar example in \mathbb{D}^2 of a polynomial $P = P(z_1, z_2)$, such that $P(z_1, z_2) = P(z_2, z_1)$ and the set $\{P = 0\}$ is connected in \mathbb{D}^2 but does not intersect the main diagonal. Of course, such an example is impossible in \mathbb{R}^2 in view of the intermediate value theorem for continuous functions.

2. EXTENDING THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF ALGEBRA

Theorem 2.1. (*FTA.*) $\forall P(z) := \sum_0^N a_k z^k, a_N \neq 0$ *has precisely N roots (zeros) in \mathbb{C} counting multiplicities.*

Possible Extensions In late 1980s T. Sheil-Small initiated study of the FTA for harmonic polynomials $h := p(z) - \overline{q(z)}$, such that $n := \deg p > \deg q =: m$. (Such normalization of degrees yields $\#\{h = 0\} < \infty$ cf. [9]) Using classical Bezout's theorem, A. Wilmschurst [9] showed that $\#\{h = 0\} \leq n^2$ and produced an elegant example showing that n^2 is sharp for all n . m in Wilmschurst's example equals $n - 1$. Let $Z(m, n) := \#\{h = 0\}$. D.Khavinson and G. Swiatek [8] showed that $Z(1, n) \leq 3n - 2$, a result conjectured by Sheil-Small and Wilmschurst. L. Geyer [6] proved that this bound is sharp for all n . In 2013, P. Bleher, Y. Homma, L. Ji and R. Roeder [2] showed that the sets $SP_n(k)$ of polynomials p of degree n such that $p - \bar{z}$ has precisely k zeros are all open sets in the space of polynomials of degree n for

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30H05, Secondary: 30E05 .

The author gratefully acknowledges partial support from the National Science Foundation under the grant DMS-0855597 and the support from the MFO Foundation.

$n \leq k \leq 3n - 2$, $k = n, n + 2, \dots, 3n - 2$ thus, showing that all these valencies can be assumed with positive probabilities. A. Wilmschurst [9] conjectured that $Z(m, n) \leq m(m - 1) + 3n - 2$. In the forthcoming paper S. Y. Lee, A. Lerario and E. Lundberg proved that this is not true for all $n \geq 4$ and $m = n - 3$.

Question. Find the asymptotics of $Z(m, n)$ for large m, n . More generally, let $P(x, y) \geq 0$, $\deg P = n$ has only isolated zeros in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then the maximal number of real zeros for P is $\leq n^2$ and, it is not hard to see using Wilmschurst's example, is $\geq \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$. Can any number between these two bounds of real zeros of P occur?

3. BOHR'S RADIUS FOR POLYNOMIALS

Theorem 3.1. (*H. Bohr*, [3]) Let $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_k z^k$, $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ in \mathbb{D} . Then, $R_B := \sup\{|z| : \sum |a_k||z|^k \leq 1\} = 1/3$ and this bound is sharp.

(Curiously, Bohr's paper was actually written by G. Hardy based on Bohr's letters, and the sharp bound $1/3$ was due in fact, independently, to M. Riesz, I. Schur and F. Wiener.)

Furthermore, ([1],[4]), one has a simple asymptotic for the majorant series

$$M(r) := \sup\{\sum_0^\infty a_k r^k, f : \|f\|_\infty \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{D}\} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}.$$

Fix $N \geq 1$ and denote by Π_N the set of polynomials $\{P_N(z) := \sum_0^N a_k z^k, a_N \neq 0, \|P\|_\infty = 1\}$. Define by analogy the n -th Bohr radius $R_N := \sup\{r < 1 : \sum_0^N |a_k| r^k \leq 1, \forall P \in \Pi_N\}$. Clearly, $R_N > 1/3$.

Question. What is the rate of convergence of R_N to $1/3$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$? In other words, what is the asymptotic of $R_N - \frac{1}{3}$?

Z. Guadarrama [11] showed that there exist constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$\frac{C_1}{3^{N/2}} \leq R_N - \frac{1}{3} \leq C_2 \frac{\log N}{N}.$$

R. Fournier [5] showed that R_N equals to the smallest root in $(0, 1)$ of the determinant of a certain Toeplitz matrix and, based on some numerical evidence, cautiously suggested that

$$R_N - \frac{1}{3} \sim \frac{\pi^2}{3N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^4}\right).$$

The difficulty of the problem lies with the fact that neither the extremal problem for R_B (D.Khavinson, cf. [11]), nor its counterpart for R_N (R. Fournier, [5]) admit nonconstant solutions.

REFERENCES

1. C. Bénéteau, A. Dahlner and D. Khavinson, Remarks on the Bohr phenomenon. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 4 (2004), no. 1, 1 – 19.
2. P. Bleher, Y. Homma, L. Ji and R. Roeder, Counting zeros of rational harmonic functions and its application to gravitational lensing, to appear in Intern.Math. Res. Notes, 2013.
3. H. Bohr, A theorem concerning power series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 13 (1914), 1–5.
4. E. Bombieri and J. Bourgain, A remark on Bohr's inequality. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 80, 4307 – 4330.
5. R. Fournier, Asymptotics of the Bohr radius for polynomials of fixed degree. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008), no. 2, 1100 – 1107.
6. L. Geyer, Sharp bounds for the valence of certain harmonic polynomials. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 2, 549 – 555.
7. D. Khavinson and G. Neumann, From the fundamental theorem of algebra to astrophysics: a "harmonious" path. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (2008), no. 6, 666 – 675.

8. D. Khavinson and G. Swiatek, On the number of zeros of certain harmonic polynomials. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 2, 409 - 414.
9. T. Sheil-Small, Complex Polynomials, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 75. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. xx+428 pp. ISBN: 0-521-40068-6.
10. S. Shimorin, a written communication.
11. Z. Guadarrama, Bohr's radius for polynomials in one complex variable. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 5 (2005), no. 1, 143 - 151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA, 4202 E. FOWLER AVE.,
CMC342 , TAMPA FL 33620

E-mail address: `dkhavins@usf.edu`